

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,251		04/27/2001	Richard H. Morrison JR.	NU-541XX	8919
207	7590	04/21/2005		EXAMINER	
		SCHURGIN, GAG	ROJAS, BERNARD		
TEN POST OFFICE SQUARE BOSTON, MA 02109				ADTABLE	DA DED MUMADED
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2832	
			DATE MAILED, 04/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/844,251	MORRISON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bernard Rojas	2832					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 January 2005.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	03 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-11 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 16-19 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 12-15, 20 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the for drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	epted or b) objected to by the fidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the fidal drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do Solution of Informal Paper No(s)/Mail Do Solution of Solution of Informal Paper No(s)/Mail Do Solution of Info	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ijected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152. i)-(d) or (f). ion No ed in this National Stage ed.  (PTO-413) ate					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to independent claim 1 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear what is meant by "temporarily exposing the microswitch to a fluid under predetermined conditions to lower a contact resistance." Is the entire microswitch being exposed to the fluid or only the contacts? What predetermined conditions are being used to expose the microswitch?

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/844,251

Art Unit: 2832

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-3, 5 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Streeter et al. [US 6,396,371].

Claim 1, as best understood, Streeter et al. discloses a process for preparing a contact on a microswitch, the process reducing a resistance of the microswitch and maintaining a low resistance of the microswitch for many cycles, comprising

- a. forming the microswitch contact [126, 128] with a predetermined material [gold
   (Au), col. 9 line 38];
- b. temporarily exposing the microswitch to a fluid [the mercury on contacts 126, 128 is heated to a liquid state during switch operation] under a predetermined conditions to lower a contact resistance [col. 9 lines 45-65].
- Claim 2, Streeter et al. discloses the process of claim 1 wherein the microswitch is a micro relay [Mems relay, abs, figure 4].
- Claim 3, Streeter et al. discloses the process of claim 1 wherein the contacts are made of gold [col. 9 line 38].
- Claim 5, the process of fabricating the microswitch is inherent in the product structure as previously describe for claim 1.

Claim 16, Streeter et al. discloses a microswitch contact formed according to the process of claim 1 [contacts 126, 128].

Claims 17 and 19, Streeter et al. discloses a microswitch formed according to the process of claim 1 [figure 4].

Claim 18, Streeter et al. discloses a microswitch formed according to the process of claim 5 [figure 4].

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Streeter et al. [US 6,396,371] in view of Kasai et al. [US 6,483,395].

Claim 4, Streeter et al. discloses the process of claim 3 with the exception of making the contact out of ruthenium.

Kasai et al teaches using ruthenium contacts in a Mem switch.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use ruthenium contacts in the microswitch of Lutz et al. in order to reduce the adhesive force of the contact electrode down to such a degree that the adhesive force does not harmfully influence an operational characteristic of the micromachine switch [col. 5 lines 13-16, col. 7 lines 25-33].

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12-15 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 09/844,251

Art Unit: 2832

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard Rojas whose telephone number is (571) 272-1998. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:00), every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bernaud Rosse Br

Spe-AU2032

Page 6